UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/588,810	08/09/2006	Jurgen Deininger	13156-00069-US	2775
	7590 04/10/200 SOVE LODGE & HUT	EXAMINER		
P O BOX 2207			LISTVOYB, GREGORY	
WILMINGTON, DE 19899			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1796	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/10/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/588,810	DEININGER ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	GREGORY LISTVOYB	1796			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period versilure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26 Fe	ehruary 2009				
,	action is non-final.				
· <del>-</del>					
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims	,, pane gaayie, 1000 0.21 1.1, 10	,			
· <u> </u>					
4) Claim(s) 11-20 is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6) Claim(s) <u>11-20</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.				
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).			
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:					
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage					
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
	·				
Au					
Attachment(s)	A) 🗀 استمار الدوران	(DTO 442)			
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)     Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4)				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) Notice of Informal P				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6)				

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 2/26/2009 has been entered.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 11-20 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mohrschladt (US 6359020) herein Mohrschladt or Bassler et al. (WO 0208313, cited with equivalent US 6815527) herein Bassler in combination with Donck (US 2003/02066835) herein Donck (necessitated by Amendment).

Mohrschladt or Bassler disclose a process according to claim 11 that comprises the following stages:

Application/Control Number: 10/588,810

Art Unit: 1796

(1) reacting aminonitriles or dinitriles and diamines or mixtures thereof, and optionally together with further polyamide-forming monomers and/or oligomer with the aqueous medium in the reactor at a temperature from 90 to 400°C and a pressure from 0.1 to 35x106 Pa to obtain a reaction mixture,

Page 3

- (2) further reacting the reaction mixture at a temperature from 150 to 400°C and a pressure which is lower than the stage 1 pressure, wherein the temperature and the pressure are chosen such that a first gas phase and a first liquid phase are obtained and the first gas phase is separated from the first liquid phase,
- (3) admixing the first liquid phase with a gaseous or liquid phase comprising water or an aqueous medium at a temperature from 90 to 350°C and a pressure from 0.1 to 30 x 106 Pa to obtain a product mixture.
- (4) postcondensing the product mixture at a temperature from 200 to 350°C and a pressure which is lower than the stage 3 pressure, if stage 3 is carried out, wherein the temperature and the pressure are chosen such that a second gaseous phase, which comprises water and ammonia, and a second liquid phase, which comprises the polyamide, are obtained (see Mohrschladt, Column 2, line 25 and Bassler, Column 2, line 15).

Mohrschladt teaches that the starting mixture consist of aminocapronitrile and water or extract water at 1:6 molar ratio, where the extractables (solid) content of the extract water used is within the range of 6-7% (see Examples, column 14, line 45).

Page 4

Note that 1:6 molar ratio means 126 parts of aminocapronitrile and 106 parts of water, considering that MW of the monomer is 126 and MW of water is 18. Therefore, limitation of claim 1 regarding 35-95% of aqueous media is met.

Regarding Claim 17, Mohrschladt or Bassler disclose Titanium Oxide catalyst (see Bassler, Column 6, line 30, Mohrschladt, Column 5, line 45).

In reference to Claim 18, Mohrschladt or Bassler disclose a reactor having a vertically disposed longitudinal axis wherein, in the reactor, the reaction product is removed from the bottom and ammonia formed and any further low molecular weight compounds formed and water are taken off overhead, wherein the reactor (see Mohrschladt, Column 5, line 10).

Regarding Claim 20, Mohrschladt or Bassler disclose aqueous media with solids content of 20-90 and the solids are lactams and cyclic oligomeric lactams having two to six ring members that are derived from the aminonitrile used (see Mohrschladt, Column 2, line 20).

Mohrschladt or Bassler do not disclose the aqueous medium are introduced into the reactor at two or more different locations along the vertical longitudinal axis, where the fraction of aqueous media fed at the reaction inlet is in the range of 35-95% wt.

However, According to MPEP 2144.04, at mere duplication of parts has no patentable significance unless a new and unexpected result is produced., see also *In re Harza*, 274 F.2d 669, 124 USPQ 378 (CCPA 1960).

In Specification Applicant listed the following benefits of multiple monomer feeding:

- 1. the reaction gives a more hydrolyzed prepolymer which consequently has a higher carboxyl end group content.
- 2. the temperature profile in the reactor can be smoothed or uniformized (see page 3, line 15 of the Specification).

However, no specific data related to the above benefit is presented. In addition, since the location of feeding port (especially minimal distance between the ports along vertical axes) along with temperature profile of the reactor are not presented. In other words, when the distance between two feeding ports along with vertical axes is very low (for instance 10-20 cm), a positive effect from multiple monomer feeding could not be expected.

In addition, multiple monomer feeding in a tubular reactors is well known.

Application/Control Number: 10/588,810

Art Unit: 1796

Donck teaches tubular polymerization reactors and processes, where multiple monomer

Page 6

feeds spaced lengthwise is applied (see Abstract).

Donck teaches that the design above provides high conversion of monomer into

polymer and quality of the resulting polymer (see Abstract).

Donck discloses wide variety of monomers, which can be used in the process, including

acrylamides, N-vinyl N-methylacetamides, etc. (see line 0043).

Note that Donck does not teach polycondensation process. However, he solves the

same problem as one of the application, i.e. "2. the temperature profile in the reactor

can be smoothed or uniformized". Donck teaches that the reaction in his method is

highly exothermic (see line 0004). Therefore, split addition of monomers prevents local

overheating, which leads to smoother and more uniform temperature profile. Thus,

since Donck's reactor design solves the same problem as one of the Application,

rejection under 35 USC 103(a) is applicable, even though prior art and application

represent different fields of endeavor.

Therefore, it would be obvious to a person of ordinary skills in the art to apply Donck's

multiple monomer feed to Mohrschladt or Bassler's process in order to increase

monomer conversion and quality of the resulting polymer.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed on 2/26/2009 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues that Marchildon teaches multiport introduction of steam into a reactor at the end opposite to where the reactants are introduced so that the steam travels countercurrently to the direction of the reactant flow.

However, in Final Rejection filed on 8/28/2008 Marchildon (US 6201096) is withdrawn. Therefore, the above argument is irrelevant at the present stage of prosecution.

Applicant argues that Claim 1, as amended, requires that (1) the aqueous medium have a solids content in the range from 2 % to 30 % by weight and that (2) the fraction of the total amount of said aqueous medium fed at the reactor inlet is in the range of from 35 % to 95 % by weight. Applicant argues that none of the references cited by the Examiner, either alone or in combination, teach or suggest either of these claim limitations.

This is incorrect. Mohrschladt teaches that the starting mixture consist of aminocapronitrile and water or extract water at 1:6 molar ratio, where the extractables (solid) content of the extract water used is within the range of 6-7% (see Examples, column 14, line 45).

Application/Control Number: 10/588,810 Page 8

Art Unit: 1796

Note that 1:6 molar ratio means 126 parts of aminocapronitrile and 106 parts of water, considering that MW of the monomer is 126 and MW of water is 18. Therefore, limitation of claim 1 regarding 35-95% of aqueous media is met.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to GREGORY LISTVOYB whose telephone number is (571)272-6105. The examiner can normally be reached on 10am-7pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James Seidleck can be reached on (571) 272-1078. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/James J. Seidleck/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1796 GL Application/Control Number: 10/588,810

Page 9

Art Unit: 1796